## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Mortgage Broker License of:

No. 08F-BD068-BNK

BLUE CHIP CAPITAL, INC. 600 W. Ray Road, Suite D-4

NOTICE OF HEARING

Chandler, AZ 85225

RECEIVED JUN 2 4 2008 O.A.H.

Petitioner.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 6-137, 6-138, and 41-1092.02, the above-captioned matter will be heard through the Office of Administrative Hearings, an independent agency, and is scheduled for July 29, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1400 West Washington, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona, (602) 542-9826 (the "Hearing").

The purpose of the Hearing is to determine if grounds exist for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Petitioner to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Petitioner's license pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-905; and (4) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage brokers pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-138, the Superintendent of Financial Institutions for the State of Arizona (the "Superintendent") delegates the authority vested in the Superintendent, whether implied or expressed, to the Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings or the Director's designee to preside over the Hearing as the Administrative Law Judge, to make written recommendations to the Superintendent consisting of proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order. The Office of Administrative Hearings has designated Lewis Kowal, at the address and phone number listed above, as the Administrative Law Judge for these proceedings. Pursuant to Arizona Administrative

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Code ("A.A.C.") Rule 2-19-104 and A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.01(H)(1) and 41-1092.08, the Superintendent retains authority to enter orders granting a stay, orders on motions for rehearing, final decisions pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.08 or other order or process which the Administrative Law Judge is specifically prohibited from entering.

Motions to continue this matter shall be made in writing to the Administrative Law Judge **not** less than fifteen (15) days prior to the date set for the Hearing. A copy of any motion to continue shall be mailed or hand-delivered to the opposing party on the same date of filing with the Office of Administrative Hearings.

A.R.S. § 41-1092.07 entitles any person affected by this Hearing to appear in person and by counsel, or to proceed without counsel during the giving of all evidence, to have a reasonable opportunity to inspect all documentary evidence, to cross-examine witnesses, to present evidence and witnesses in support of his/her interests, and to have subpoenas issued by the Administrative Law Judge to compel attendance of witnesses and production of evidence. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(B), any person may appear on his or her own behalf or by counsel.

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(E), a clear and accurate record of the proceedings will be made by a court reporter or by electronic means. Any party that requests a transcript of the proceedings shall pay the cost of the transcript for the court reporter or other transcriber.

Questions concerning issues raised in this Notice of Hearing should be directed to Assistant Attorney General Craig A. Raby, (602) 542-8889, 1275 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

# NOTICE OF APPLICABLE RULES

On February 7, 1978, the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions (the "Department") adopted A.A.C. R20-4-1201 through R20-4-1220, which were amended September 12, 2001, setting forth the rules of practice and procedure applicable in contested cases and appealable agency actions before the Superintendent. The hearing will be conducted pursuant to these rules and the rules governing procedures before the Office of Administrative Hearings, A.A.C. R2-19-101 through R2-19-122. A copy of these rules is enclosed.

Pursuant to A.A.C. R20-4-1209, Petitioner shall file a written answer within twenty (20) days after issuance of this Notice of Hearing. The answer shall briefly state the Petitioner's position or defense and shall specifically admit or deny each of the assertions contained in this Notice of Hearing. If the answering Petitioner is without or are unable to reasonably obtain knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of an assertion, Petitioner shall so state, which shall have the effect of a denial. Any assertion not denied is deemed admitted. When Petitioner intends to deny only a part or a qualification of an assertion, or to qualify an assertion, Petitioner shall expressly admit so much of it as is true and shall deny the remainder. Any defense not raised in the answer is deemed waived.

If a timely answer is not filed, pursuant to A.A.C. R20-4-1209(D), Petitioner will be deemed in default and the Superintendent may deem the allegations in this Notice of Hearing as true and admitted and the Superintendent may take whatever action is appropriate, including suspension, revocation, denial of Petitioner's license or affirming an order to Cease and Desist and imposition of a civil penalty or restitution to any injured party.

Petitioner's answer shall be mailed or delivered to the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions, 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 310, Phoenix, Arizona 85018, with a copy mailed or delivered to the Office of Administrative Hearings, 1400 West Washington, Suite 101, Phoenix, Arizona 85007 and to Assistant Attorney General Craig A. Raby, Consumer Protection & Advocacy Section, Attorney General's Office, 1275 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Persons with disabilities may request reasonable accommodations such as interpreters, alternative format or assistance with physical accessibility. Requests for accommodations must be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodations. If accommodations are required, call the Office of Administrative Hearings at (602) 542-9826.

### **FACTS**

1. Petitioner Blue Chip Capital, Inc. ("Blue Chip") is an Arizona corporation authorized to transact business in Arizona as a mortgage broker, license number MB 0904457, within the meaning

of A.R.S. §§ 6-901, *et seq*. The nature of Blue Chip's business is that of making, negotiating, or offering to make or negotiate loans secured by Arizona real property, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 6-901(6).

- 2. On September 22, 2006, the Department received a complaint and as a result the Department finds Blue Chip:
  - a. Misrepresented or concealed an essential or material fact in the course of the mortgage broker business and knowingly advertised, displayed, or caused to permit any false, misleading or deceptive statement or representation with regard to the rates, terms or conditions for a mortgage loan. Furthermore, the charges or rate of charge were not set forth in such a manner as to prevent misunderstanding by prospective borrowers; specifically:
    - i. On or about September 22, 2006, the Department received a complaint, Complaint # 4010968, regarding Petitioners' advertising. The complainant provided a copy of Blue Chip's advertisement and stated the advertisement was misleading in several ways, including but not limited to:
      - 1. The advertisement appeared to indicate that complainant's mortgage payment was going to be raised by ING; and the complainant was obligated to call Blue Chip; and only upon careful review of the small print did the complaint discover and realize that ING was not affiliated with Blue Chip.
    - ii. On or about September 22, 2006, the Department sent a letter to the Petitioner and requested a response to the complaint;
    - iii. On or about September 29, 2006, the Department received a response from the Petitioner. In its response, the Petitioner claims among other things, that:
      - 1. The advertisement is, "clear, concise, and simple. It is a solicitation to refinance homeowners who currently have an adjustable rate

## mortgage";

- iv. The advertisement misleads the recipients into believing that they must callBlue Chip to avoid a probable payment increase;
- v. The advertisement does not state that the only way to get the reduction is to refinance; and
- vi. The advertisement leaves the impression that there is an impending change in the recipient's mortgage payment due to the "MORTGAGOR PAYMENT CHANGE NOTICE" and "Adjustment Notice" under 24 CFR § 203.49 that is disclosed on the envelope.
- b. Engaged in illegal or improper business practices; specifically:
  - i. Petitioner is responsible for this advertisement;
  - ii. Petitioner failed to comply with Regulation Z and Federal Trade Commission regulations by failing to include:
    - 1. The phrase, "rates subject to increase after settlement."
- 3. Based upon the above findings, the Department issued and served upon Blue Chip an Amended Notice of Assessment on May 28, 2008.
- 4. On May 30, 2008, Petitioner filed a Request For Hearing to appeal the Amended Notice of Assessment.

#### LAW

- 1. Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-901, et seq., the Superintendent has the authority and duty to regulate all persons engaged in the mortgage broker business and with the enforcement of statutes, rules, and regulations relating to mortgage brokers.
  - 2. By the conduct set forth in the Findings of Fact, Blue Chip violated the following:
    - a. A.R.S. § 6-909(L) by making a false promise or misrepresentation or concealed an essential or material fact in the course of the mortgage broker business by misleading advertisement;

- b. A.R.S.§ 6-909(C) by knowingly advertising, displaying, distributing, broadcasting or televising a false, misleading or deceptive statement or representation by advertising in a way that misleads as to matters relating to payment changes; and
- c. A.R.S. § 6-909(N) by engaging in illegal or improper business practices including but not limited to their advertising, which failed to comply with Regulation Z and Federal Trade Commission Regulations.
- 3. The violations, set forth above, constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of an order pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137 directing Petitioner to cease and desist from the violative conduct and to take the appropriate affirmative actions, within a reasonable period of time prescribed by the Superintendent, to correct the conditions resulting from the unlawful acts, practices, and transactions; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) the suspension or revocation of Petitioner's license pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-905; and (4) an order or any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage brokers pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

WHEREFORE, if after a hearing, the Superintendent makes a finding of one or more of the above-described violations, the Superintendent may affirm the May 28, 2008, Amended Notice of Assessment, pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-137; impose a civil money penalty pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-132; suspend or revoke Petitioner's license pursuant to A.R.S. § 6-905; and order any other remedy necessary or proper for the enforcement of statutes and rules regulating mortgage brokers pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 6-123 and 6-131.

DATED this 2-4 day of Jyne, 2008.

Felecia A. Rotellini Superintendent of Financial Institutions

By Ormores

Robert D. Charlton

Assistant Superintendent of Financial Institutions

- 1	
1	ORIGINAL OF THE NOTICE OF HEARING filed this 240 day of 1200, 2008 in the office of:
2	
3	Felecia A. Rotellini Superintendent of Financial Institutions Arizona Department of Financial Institutions
4	Attn: Susan L. Longo 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310
5	Phoenix, AZ 85018
6	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered same date to:
7	Lewis Kowal, Administrative Law Judge
8	Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 W. Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ 85007
9	
10	Craig A. Raby, Assistant Attorney General Attorney General's Office 1275 West Washington
11	Phoenix, AZ 85007
12	Robert D. Charlton, Assistant Superintendent Richard Fergus, Department Manager
13	Lori Mann, Senior Examiner Arizona Department of Financial Institutions
14	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 Phoenix, AZ 85018
15	AND COPY MAILED SAME DATE by
16	Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:
17	Blue Chip Capital, Inc. c/o Jason Richardson, President and
18	Responsible Individual 600 W. Ray Road Ste. D-4
19	Chandler, AZ 85225 Petitioner
20	Jason Richardson, Statutory Agent For:
21	Blue Chip Capital, Inc.
22	600 W. Ray Road Ste. D-4 Chandler, AZ 85225
23	Suna L Borna
24	229684, PHX-AGN-2008-0194
25	